(U//FOUO) FBI DOJ-OIG ELEC 041551



	October 17, 2017
2015	In July or August of 2015, WFO SSA had a brief discussion with U.S. Attorney's Office for the District of Columbia (USAO-DC) regarding the Clinton Foundation (CF) allegations that were the focus of the book "Clinton Cash." was advised was in the process of attempting to predicate an investigation based on the allegations. in turn expressed interest in the matter and requested they meet to review supporting information sometime in the future. In never met with to discuss further. During Fall 2015, may have had one or two brief discussions with the /Assistant U.S. Attorney (AUSA) USAO-DC and
	Assistant U.S. Attorney (AUSA) of the Public Integrity (PIN) Section at DOJ. These conversations did not focus significantly on the CF matter and any mention was likely ancillary to other discussions, with the intention of informing them was continuing to study the matter to possibly predicate an investigation.
1/21	A meeting was hosted by then the meeting: CID -
1/22	NYO initiated a Preliminary Investigation.
1/27	LR initiated Full Field Investigation.
1/29	WFO initiated a Preliminary Investigation. Prior to the opening, unknown exact date, former WFO SAC briefed this matter to USAO-DC. was aware NYO and LR were also looking into the matter and provided a non-committal response, implying he would wait until the FBI had made a decision on which office would be taking the lead on this investigation. WFO SSA also contacted AUSA USAO-DC and DOJ's PIN The USAO-DC expressed interest in the case, but was not prepared to offer a prosecutorial opinion. DOJ-PIN would not offer a prosecutorial opinion until the case was presented to DOJ leadership (no specific names provided) by FBIHQ.
2/1	criminal Division and CID personnel and PIN regarding the CF investigation. DOJ indicated they would not be supportive of a FBI investigation. No further information was available. Shortly after the 2/1 CID meeting with DOJ (unknown exact date), LR personnel (
	possibly former and , who did not know about the DOI position mentioned above, briefed the Eastern District of Arkenses (EDAR)

2016		and on the CF matter. was told by LR personnel (either by he may not want to be a party to the briefing because of conflicts of interest. LR expressed these concerns due to the possibility that was believed to be a supporter of the Democratic Party and possibly the Clintons. advised he was fine and participated in the briefing. After the briefing, stated he wished he could "unhear" the briefing, but agreed to move forward. EDAR USAO advised they were fully committed.
2/1 to 2/2	2	Between 2/1 and 2/22, learned from former and/or former CID that DOJ was implying this case was just based on open source reporting and fishing through a book. advised CID LR's case was opened based on reporting and investigative work, in addition to open source reporting — a source often used to open PC cases, as appropriate.
2/1	7	NYO former SAC discussed NYO's investigative plan. Later in the same day, former NYO and met to discuss the plan.
2/1	7	A SVTC meeting was then held between also participated in the SVTC. It is unknown if LR personnel participated in this meeting. DD McCabe was advised by NYO of a NYO CHS who possibly had information on the matter. DD McCabe directed that no overt investigative steps were to be taken on the CF investigation without his approval. He authorized the debriefing of open CHS(s) and analysis of information pertaining to the CF found in FBI databases. DD McCabe had been briefed on the CF matter previously, possibly by
2/2	2	CID hosted a SVTC with WFO, NYO, and LR, led by former control of speaking to open CHSs. FBI Divisions were directed not to open or recruit any new CHSs, and no additional overt investigative steps were authorized.
3/	1	LR , at the direction of former , sent an email to CID (requesting concurrence for LR to obtain supporting documents , if the POC would be willing to provide them voluntarily, thereby following DD McCabe's directives. LR never received permission to seek the documents.
		Possibly March, unknown exact date, EDAR informed LR informed LR beputy Attorney General (DAG) Sally Yates, DOJ, ordered EDAR USAO to "shut it down." Inotified former and and and and did not have any follow-up conversations with the EDAR USAO regarding DAG Yates' order to "shut it down."
3/	2	of Eastern District of New York's (EDNYs) Public Integrity Unit advised NYO spoke with the DAG's office and was told to move forward and meet with a Cooperating Witness (CW). The purpose of the meeting with the CW was for the CW to review transcripts of the recording between the CW and an individual associated with the CF. and discussed meeting with the CW to occur on or about March 10, 2016.



75 -47	0	+	
	7/19	•	DD McCabe was briefed on the CF investigation by CID. It is unknown if he was fully briefed on this previously as he requested a comprehensive briefing. CID provided a full detailed briefing concerning predication of the CF investigation involving open source, CHS, and information.
	8/1		CID hosted a SVTC with WFO, NYO, and LR. CID advised this investigation would be consolidated into one case file and worked by the NYO. NYO was determined to have the best opportunity to pursue this investigation proactively using an existing CHS and due to the fact the majority of the CF operations were based out of New York City. Additionally, having three field offices pursuing the same subject/target was inefficient and duplicative. WFO and LR were directed to close their investigations due to the consolidation. NYO was advised no overt investigative action was to take place unless authorized by the Deputy Director. Analysis of obtained through a related LA investigation and the debriefing of existing CHSs were authorized. Analysis of Hillary Obtained through the State Department during the WFO investigation on was also authorized.
	8/10	1	and EDNY had a telephone conversation in which requested a commitment from the EDNY for moving forward with at least advised she would let know upon review and discussion with her personnel.
	8/16	-	NYO contacted EDNY , to request
	8/23	•	EDNY and met to Later in the same day, contacted who advised that she will be meeting with U.S. Attorney (USA) Robert Capers to decide whether or not to move forward with the
	8/24	-	met with of the EDNY's Criminal Division and was informed EDNY would not support the investigation. No further explanation was given.
	8/24	-	then contacted the Southern District of New York (SDNY) USAO, as to whether or not they would support the investigation. No response was provided to by SDNY at that time.
	8/25	-	had a conversation with SDNY PC about the same matter. advised more than likely the decision will be not to proceed. No further explanation was given.
	8/25	•	spoke with SDNY at the Office of the Deputy Attorney General (ODAG) who advised that Principal Deputy Attorney General, DOJ, had a conversation with FBIHQ (specifics not provided) and was under the impression the NYO was not looking for any legal process and simply reviewing documentation internally in the NYO's possession. SDNY advised they would not support the investigation and no further explanation was given.

(U//FOUO) FBI DOJ-OIG ELEC 044554



The same of the sa	
8/26	requested a declination letter from EDNY generally not done but would check with to determine if she would do so.
9/1	Telcal between incoming from DD regarding CF and EDNY with DD directing no overt action; review only, and requesting relay the same to NYO SAC Harpster. DD had received call from ODAG asking why NYO was shopping the CF case around. placed call to NYO relaying DD's direction that there be no overt action; review only.
10/24	Telcal between and and on several different matters to include brief discussion on WSJ article about
10/25	SVTC (which included brief update on Weiner investigation; overt legal process and ability to get for the Weiner with DOJ guidelines relative to election. In follow-up telcal between and DD, DD is reminded that EDNY had previously stated not interested in pursuing CF matter, but then changed to no legal process (until after the election.
10/25	Telcals between and and separately and Both advised of SVTC mtg with and advised guidance given during that SVTC from DD was to seek legal process if that was deemed needed in accordance with DoJ guidelines. Advised that was contrary to previous guidance which was no overt legal process to be sought.
10/26	Telconf w/. leaks and so is displeasure. gives specific guidance on warning personnel about leaks.
10/26	In a follow-up call to the one above, the DD advises ADIC NYO and that he wanted to be on the record recusing himself from the Clinton Foundation case. NYO advised he would communicate information to
10/30	Telcal from DD to regarding WSJ article, concern over leaks and need to advise folks of media policy. Follow-up telcals w/ on WSJ article and DD's direction.
11/1	DD sent an email recusing himself from the Clinton Foundation investigation.
11/1	request to to add CF investigative personnel to Weiner review team or to provide CF briefing to the Weiner review team. Anthony Weiner, former U.S. Congressman (New York), and was the subject of A search warrant was obtained and initial review revealed Hillary Clinton. However, due to the limited scope of the search warrant, investigators were not able to fully review e-mails outside the
A WATER	scope of the underlying investigation. In May 2017, Weiner pled guilty to
11/2	is advised by that the POC for coordinating/handling discussion of potential Clinton Foundation information that may be seen in plain view during Weiner



2016	A
11/22	Mtg @ EDNY concerning moving forward on CF investigation now that election is over consistent with previous understanding. statute of limitations. was more direct and advised he looked at the issue in three buckets: (1) he was personally concerned about the depiction of him in recent articles [note WSJ article on 11/2/2016 by regarding tension between FBI and DOJ and specifically cites [2] (2) the case could have statute of limitations issues, and (3) wanted to close this chapter and move forward. would have an issue to pursue the case because there was no longer an election reason not to move forward and EDNY could pursue if in fact they decided to do so. EDNY requested additional time to contact DOJ/PIN. and respectively that EDNY would not proceed with the Clinton Foundation investigation. advised that he did not contact DOJ/PIN again on matter.
12/1	NYO and met with SDNY Public Corruption and AUSA to discuss whether SDNY would open a case on the CF and assist NYO with subpoenas. SDNY requested time to speak with EDNY and DOJ/PIN.
12/9	SDNY called and advised he consulted with EDNY Criminal and the ODAG. He advised the ODAG left it up to SDNY to make the decision. advised he spoke to SDNY Criminal Consulted with EDNY and the SDNY's Public Corruption Section on the matter and then stated SDNY was not in a position to disagree with EDNY and therefore SDNY declined to pursue the investigation into the Clinton Foundation.
12/20	A SVTC was held with LAFO, NYO, and FBIHQ. Participants included NYO and NYO The purpose of the SVTC was to discuss how to move forward on the investigation. FBIHQ advised there would be guidance from the Director's office soon after the New Year.
12/23	EDNY advises that he is not likely to authorize a declination letter because he was burned before on a different case. He agreed to meet after the New Year. advised that a case wasn't presented for prosecution and that it is not a legitimate declination. Separately, advised of leaks admitted to by Supervisory Special Agent who provided the WSJ information on an investigation being conducted by had also been previously assigned to the had stated he was not the source of leaks on
017 ^{1/11}	hosted a SVTC with CID AD DESCRIPTION, DAD STATE OF THE NYO, WFO, LAFO, and LR each briefed their respective holdings on case. NYO advised FBI NYO still did not have a USAO willing to work the case and provide subpoenas. LR briefed that their USA was willing to work the case.



